## Pattern M atching

## Pattern M atching

- Given
- a word $t$ (the text) of length $n$, and
- a regular expression $p$ (the pattern) of length $m$
determine
- the smallest number $k^{\prime}$ such that some
[ $\left.k, k^{\prime}\right]$-factor of $w$ belongs to $L(p)$.


## NFA-based solution

PatternMatchingNFA(t, p)
Input: text $t=a_{1} \ldots a_{n} \in \Sigma^{+}$, pattern $p \in \Sigma^{*}$
Output: the first occurrence of $p$ in $t$, or $\perp$ if no such occurrence exists.

```
\(1 \quad A \leftarrow \operatorname{RegtoNFA}\left(\Sigma^{*} p\right)\)
\(2 \quad S \leftarrow Q_{0}\)
3 for all \(k=0\) to \(n-1\) do
\(4 \quad\) if \(S \cap F \neq \emptyset\) then return \(k\)
\(5 \quad S \leftarrow \delta\left(S, a_{k+1}\right)\)
6 return \(\perp\)
```

- Line 1 takes $O\left(m^{3}\right)$ time $\left(O\left(m^{2}\right)\right.$ for fixed alphabet), output has $O(m)$ states
- Loop is executed at most $n$ times
- One iteration takes $O\left(s^{2}\right)$ time, where $s$ is the number of states of $A$
- Since $s=O(m)$, the total runtime is $O\left(m^{3}+n m^{2}\right)$, and $O\left(n m^{2}\right)$ for $m \leq n$.


## DFA-based solution

PatternMatchingDFA(t, p)
Input: text $t=a_{1} \ldots a_{n} \in \Sigma^{+}$, pattern $p$
Output: the first occurrence of $p$ in $t$, or $\perp$ if no such occurrence exists.
$1 \quad A \leftarrow \operatorname{NFAtoDFA}\left(\operatorname{RegtoNFA}\left(\Sigma^{*} p\right)\right)$
$2 \quad q \leftarrow q_{0}$
3 for all $k=0$ to $n-1$ do
$4 \quad$ if $q \in F$ then return $k$
$5 \quad q \leftarrow \delta\left(q, a_{k+1}\right)$
6 return $\perp$

- Line 1 takes $2^{O(m)}$ time
- Loop is executed at most $n$ times
- One iteration takes constant time
- Total runtime is $O(n)+2^{O(m)}$


## The word case

- The pattern $p=b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{m}$ is a word of length $m$
- Naive algorithm: move a window of size m along the word one letter at a time, and compare with p after each step. Runtime: $O(\mathrm{~nm})$ for any alphabet of size $0 \leq|\Sigma| \leq n$.
- We give an algorithm with $O(n+m)$ runtime for any alphabet of size $0 \leq|\Sigma| \leq n$.
- First we explore in detail the shape of the DFA for $\Sigma^{*} p$.


## Obvious NFA for $\Sigma^{*} p$ and $p=$ nano



Result of applying NFAtoDFA:



## Intuition



- Transitions of the „spine" correspond to hits: the next letter is the one that "makes progress" towards nano.
- Other transitions correspond to misses, i.e., „wrong letters" and „throw the automaton back".


## Observations



- For every state $i=0,1, \ldots, 4$ of the NFA there is exactly one state $S$ of the DFA such that $i$ is the largest state of $S$.
- For every state $S$ of the DFA, with the exception of $S=\{0\}$, the result of removing the largest state is again a state of the DFA.


## Observations



- For every state $i=0,1, \ldots, 4$ of the NFA there is exactly one state $S$ of the DFA such that $i$ is the largest state of $S$.
- For every state $S$ of the DFA, with the exception of $S=\{0\}$, the result of removing the largest state is again a state of the DFA.
- Do these properties hold for every pattern $p$ ?


## Heads and tails, hits and misses

- Head of $S$, denoted $h(S)$ : largest state of $S$
- Tail of $S$, denoted $t(S)$ : rest of the state
- Example: $h(\{3,1,0\})=3, t(\{3,1,0\})=\{1,0\}$
- Given a state $S$, the letter leading to the next state in the „spine" is the (unique) hit letter for $S$.
- All other letters are miss letters for $S$.
- Example: hit for $\{3,1,0\}$ is $o$, whereas $n$ or $a$ are misses.


## Fundamental property of the DFA

- Proposition: Let $S_{k}$ be the $k$-th state picked from the workset during the execution of $\operatorname{NFAtoDFA}\left(A_{p}\right)$.
(1) $h\left(S_{k}\right)=k$,
(2) If $k>0$, then $t\left(S_{k}\right)=S_{l}$ for some $l<k$


## Proof Idea:

(1) and (2) hold for $S_{0}=\{0\}$ and $S_{1}=\{1,0\}$.

- For the step $k \rightarrow k+1$ we look at $\delta\left(S_{k}, a\right)$ for each $a$, where $\delta$ transition relation of $A_{p}$.
- By i.h. we have $S_{k}=\{k\} \cup S_{l}$ for some $l<k$.
- We distinguish two cases: $a$ is a hit for $S_{k}$ (that is, $a=b_{k+1}$ ), and $a$ is a miss for $S_{k}$.
- $S_{k}=\{k\} \cup S_{l}$ for some $l<k$
- $\delta\left(S_{k}, a\right)=\delta(k, a) \cup \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right)$

$$
\text { Hit: } \begin{array}{cccc} 
& \{k\} & \cup & S_{l} \\
& \mathrm{a} \downarrow & & \mathrm{a} \downarrow \\
& \{k+1\} & \cup & \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right)
\end{array}
$$

- $S_{k}=\{k\} \cup S_{l}$ for some $l<k$
- $\delta\left(S_{k}, a\right)=\delta(k, a) \cup \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right)$

$$
\text { Hit: } \begin{array}{cccc} 
& \{k\} & \cup & S_{l} \\
& \mathrm{a} \downarrow & & a \downarrow \\
& \{k+1\} & \cup & \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right)
\end{array}
$$

Added earlier to the workset, and so some $S_{l^{\prime}}$

- $S_{k}=\{k\} \cup S_{l}$ for some $l<k$
- $\delta\left(S_{k}, a\right)=\delta(k, a) \cup \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right)$

$$
\text { Hit: } \begin{array}{cccc} 
& \{k\} & \cup & S_{l} \\
& a \downarrow & & a \downarrow \\
& \{k+1\} & \cup & \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right) \\
& = & & = \\
& \{k+1\} & \cup & S_{l^{\prime}}
\end{array}
$$

- $S_{k}=\{k\} \cup S_{l}$ for some $l<k$
- $\delta\left(S_{k}, a\right)=\delta(k, a) \cup \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right)$

$$
\text { Hit: } \begin{array}{cccc} 
& \{k\} & \cup & S_{l} \\
\mathrm{a} & & \mathrm{a} \\
& \{k+1\} & \cup & \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right) \\
& = & =
\end{array}
$$

## $S_{k}=\{k\} \cup S_{l}$ for some $l<k$

$$
\delta\left(S_{k}, a\right)=\delta(k, a) \cup \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right)
$$

$\{k\} \cup S_{l}$
$\{3\} \cup\{1,0\}$
Hit:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{a} \downarrow & \mathrm{a} & 0 \downarrow & 0 \downarrow \\
\{k+1\} & \cup \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right) & \{4\} & \cup \delta(\{1,0\}, o) \\
= & = & = & = \\
\{k+1\} & \cup & S_{l^{\prime}} & \{4\} \cup
\end{array}
$$

- $S_{k}=\{k\} \cup S_{l}$ for some $l<k$
- $\delta\left(S_{k}, a\right)=\delta(k, a) \cup \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{lccc} 
& \{k\} & \cup & S_{l} \\
\text { M iss: } & \mathrm{a} \downarrow & & \mathrm{a} \downarrow \\
& \emptyset & \cup & \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right)
\end{array}
$$

- $S_{k}=\{k\} \cup S_{l}$ for some $l<k$
- $\delta\left(S_{k}, a\right)=\delta(k, a) \cup \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{cccc} 
& \{k\} & \cup & S_{l} \\
\text { M iss: } & \mathrm{a} \downarrow & & a \downarrow \\
& \emptyset & \cup & \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right) \\
& & & = \\
& & & S_{l^{\prime}}
\end{array}
$$

- $S_{k}=\{k\} \cup S_{l}$ for some $l<k$
- $\delta\left(S_{k}, a\right)=\delta(k, a) \cup \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right)$

- $S_{k}=\{k\} \cup S_{l}$ for some $l<k$
- $\delta\left(S_{k}, a\right)=\delta(k, a) \cup \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right)$
$\{k\} \quad \cup$
$\{3\} \cup\{1,0\}$

M iss:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{a} \downarrow & & \mathrm{a} \downarrow \\
\varnothing & \cup & \delta\left(S_{l}, a\right)
\end{array}
$$

n $\downarrow$
$n \downarrow$
$\emptyset \quad \cup \quad \delta(\{1,0\}, n)$
=
$\{1,0\}$

## Consequences

Prop: The result of applying $\operatorname{NFAtoDFA}(A)$, where $A$ is the obvious NFA for $\Sigma^{*} p$, yields a minimal DFA with $m+1$ states and $|\Sigma|(m+1)$ transitions.
Proof: All states of the DFA accept different languages.

So: concatenating NFAtoDFA and PatternM atchingDFA yields a $O(n+|\Sigma| m)$ algorithm.

- Good enough for constant alphabet
- Not good enough for $|\Sigma|=\Omega(n)$, then same complexity as window algorithm


## Lazy DFAs

- We introduce a new data structure: lazy DFAs. We construct a lazy DFA for $\Sigma^{*} p$ with $m+1$ states and $2(m+1)$ transitions.
- Lazy DFAs: automata that read the input from a tape by means of a reading head that can move one cell to the right or stay put.
- DFA = Lazy DFA whose head never stays put.


## Lazy DFA for $\Sigma^{*} p$

- By the fundamental property, the DFA $B$ for $\Sigma^{*} p$ behaves from state $S_{k}$ as follows:
- If $a$ is a hit, then $\delta_{B}\left(S_{k}, a\right)=S_{k+1}$, i.e., the DFA moves to the next state in the spine.
- If $a$ is a miss, then $\delta_{B}\left(S_{k}, a\right)=\delta_{B}\left(t\left(S_{k}\right), a\right)$, i.e., the DFA moves to the same state it would move to if it were in state $t\left(S_{k}\right)$.
- When $a$ is a miss for $S_{k}$, the lazy automaton moves to state $t\left(S_{k}\right)$ without advancing the head. In other words, it "delegates" doing the move to $t\left(S_{k}\right)$.
- So the lazy DFA behaves the same for all misses.

- Formally, for the lazy DFA $C$ :
$-\delta_{C}\left(S_{k}, a\right)=\left(S_{k+1}, R\right)$ if $a$ is a hit
$-\delta_{C}\left(S_{k}, a\right)=\left(t\left(S_{k}\right), N\right)$ if $a$ is a miss
- So the lazy DFA has $m+1$ states and $2 m$ transitions.
- It can be constructed in $O(m)$ space:
- For each $0 \leq k \leq n$, compute and store $S_{k}$ with
- $S_{0}:=\{0\}$, and
- $S_{k+1}:=\delta\left(S_{k}, b_{k+1}\right)$.
- Compute the transitions as at the top of the slide.
- Running the lazy DFA on the text takes $O(n)$ time:
- For every text letter the lazy DFA performs a sequence of „stay put" steps followed by a „right" step. Call this sequence a macrostep.
- Let $S_{j_{i}}$ be the state after the $i$-th macrostep. The number of steps of the $i$-th macrostep is at most $j_{i-1}-j_{i}+2$.
- So the total number of steps is at most

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(j_{i-1}-j_{i}+2\right)=j_{0}-j_{n}+2 n \leq 2 n
$$

## Computing the lazy DFA in $O(m)$ time

- For the $O(m+n)$ bound it remains to show that the lazy DFA can be constructed in $O(m)$ time.
- Let Miss( $k$ ) be the head of the state of the lazy DFA reached from $S_{k}$ by a miss (that is, $\mathrm{Miss}(k)$ is the head of the state $t\left(S_{k}\right)$ ).
- It is easy to compute each of $\operatorname{Miss}(0), \ldots, \operatorname{Miss}(m)$ in $O(m)$ time, leading to a $O\left(n+m^{2}\right)$ time algorithm.
(Compute the $S_{k}$ and use $\operatorname{Miss}(k)=h\left(t\left(S_{k}\right)\right)$.)
- Can we compute all of $\operatorname{Miss}(0), \ldots, \operatorname{Miss}(m)$ together in time $O(m)$ ? Looks impossible!
- It isn't though ...

Let miss( $i$ ) be the state reached by a miss from $S_{i}$ in the lazy DFA. Then $\operatorname{miss}(i)=t\left(S_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Miss}(i)=h\left(\operatorname{miss}\left(S_{i}\right)\right)$. For $i>1$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
\operatorname{miss}(i)=t\left(S_{i}\right) & = & t\left(\delta_{B}\left(S_{i-1}, b_{i}\right)\right) \\
& = & t\left(\delta\left(\{i-1\}, b_{i}\right) \cup \delta\left(t\left(S_{i-1}\right), b_{i}\right)\right) \\
& = & t\left(\{i\} \cup \delta\left(t\left(S_{i-1}\right), b_{i}\right)\right) \\
& = & \delta_{B}\left(t\left(S_{i-1}\right), b_{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Let miss( $i$ ) be the state reached by a miss from $S_{i}$ in the lazy DFA. Then $\operatorname{miss}(i)=t\left(S_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Miss}(i)=h\left(\operatorname{miss}\left(S_{i}\right)\right)$. For $i>1$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
\operatorname{miss}(i)=t\left(S_{i}\right) & = & t\left(\delta_{B}\left(S_{i-1}, b_{i}\right)\right) \\
& = & t\left(\delta\left(\{i-1\}, b_{i}\right) \cup \delta\left(t\left(S_{i-1}\right), b_{i}\right)\right) \\
& = & t\left(\{i\} \cup \delta\left(t\left(S_{i-1}\right), b_{i}\right)\right) \\
& = & \delta_{B}\left(t\left(S_{i-1}\right), b_{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

and so we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{miss}\left(S_{i}\right) & = \begin{cases}S_{0} & \text { if } i=0 \text { or } i=1 \\
\delta_{B}\left(\operatorname{miss}\left(S_{i-1}\right), b_{i}\right) & \text { if } i>1\end{cases} \\
\delta_{B}\left(S_{j}, b\right) & = \begin{cases}S_{j+1} & \text { if } b=b_{j+1} \text { (hit) } \\
S_{0} & \text { if } b \neq b_{j+1} \text { (miss) and } j=0 \\
\delta_{B}\left(\operatorname{miss}\left(S_{j}\right), b\right) & \text { if } b \neq b_{j+1} \text { (miss) and } j \neq 0\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{miss}\left(S_{i}\right) & = \begin{cases}S_{0} & \text { if } i=0 \text { or } i=1 \\
\delta_{B}\left(\operatorname{miss}\left(S_{i-1}\right), b_{i}\right) & \text { if } i>1\end{cases} \\
\delta_{B}\left(S_{j}, b\right) & = \begin{cases}S_{j+1} & \text { if } b=b_{j+1} \text { (hit) } \\
S_{0} & \text { if } b \neq b_{j+1} \text { (miss) and } j=0 \\
\delta_{B}\left(\text { miss }\left(S_{j}\right), b\right) & \text { if } b \neq b_{j+1} \text { (miss) and } j \neq 0\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

- With Miss $(i)=h\left(\operatorname{miss}\left(S_{i}\right)\right)$ we get the following algorithm:

CompMiss(p)
Input: pattern $p=b_{1} \cdots b_{m}$.
Output: heads of targets of miss transitions.
$1 \operatorname{Miss}(0) \leftarrow 0 ; \operatorname{Miss}(1) \leftarrow 0$
2 for $i \leftarrow 2, \ldots, m$ do
$3 \operatorname{Miss}(i) \leftarrow \operatorname{DeltaB}\left(\operatorname{Miss}(i-1), b_{i}\right)$

DeltaB( $j, b$ )
Input: head $j \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$, letter $b$.
Output: head of the state $\delta_{B}\left(S_{j}, b\right)$.
$1 \quad$ while $b \neq b_{j+1}$ and $j \neq 0$ do $j \leftarrow \operatorname{Miss}(j)$
2 if $b=b_{j+1}$ then return $j+1$
3 else return 0

## CompMiss (p)

Input: pattern $p=b_{1} \cdots b_{m}$.
Output: heads of targets of miss transitions.
$1 \operatorname{Miss}(0) \leftarrow 0 ; \operatorname{Miss}(1) \leftarrow 0$
2 for $i \leftarrow 2, \ldots, m$ do
$3 \quad \operatorname{Miss}(i) \leftarrow \operatorname{DeltaB}\left(\operatorname{Miss}(i-1), b_{i}\right)$

## DeltaB( $j, b)$

Input: head $j \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$, letter $b$.
Output: head of the state $\delta_{B}\left(S_{j}, b\right)$.
$1 \quad$ while $b \neq b_{j+1}$ and $j \neq 0$ do $j \leftarrow \operatorname{Miss}(j)$
2 if $b=b_{j+1}$ then return $j+1$
3 else return 0

All calls to DeltaB lead together to $O(m)$ iterations of the while loop.
The call DeltaB(Miss $\left.(i-1), b_{i}\right)$ executes at most

$$
\operatorname{Miss}(i-1)-(\operatorname{Miss}(i)-1)
$$

iterations, because:

- initially $j$ is assigned Miss $(i-1)$ (line 3 of CompM iss)
- each iteration decreases $j$ by at least 1
(line 1 of DeltaB, Miss $(j)<j$ )
- the return value assigned to $\operatorname{Miss}(i)$ is at most the final value of $j$ plus 1 .
(line 2 of DeltaB)
- Total number of iterations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=2}^{m}(\operatorname{Miss}(i-1)-\operatorname{Miss}(i)+1) \\
\leq & \operatorname{Miss}(1)-\operatorname{Miss}(m)+m-1 \\
\leq & m
\end{aligned}
$$

